PB84-190594

Performance of an Electrical Resistivity Technique for Detecting and Locating Geomembrane Failures

Southwest Research Inst., San Antonio, TX

Prepared for

Municipal Environmental Research Lab.
Cincinnati, OH

May 84

U.S. Department of Commerce
National Technical Information Service
NTIS

PB84-190594

EPA-600/D-84-123
May 1984

PERFORMANCE OF AN ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY TECHNIQUE FOR DETECTING AND LOCATING GEOMEMBRANE FAILURES

by

David W. Shultz
Bob M. Duff
Wendell R. Peters
Department of Geosciences
Southwest Research Institute
San Antonio, Texas 78284

EPA Contract 68-03-3033

EPA Project Officer
Carlton C. Wiles

MUNICIPAL ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY
OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
CINCINNATI, OH 45268

REPRODUCED BY
NATIONAL TECHNICAL
INFORMATION SERVICE
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
SPRINGFIELD, VA. 22161

TECHNICAL REPORT DATA
(Please read Instructions on the reverse before completing)

1. REPORT NO.	2.	3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO.
EPA-600/D-84-123		PB84 190594

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	5. REPORT DATE
PERFORMANCE OF AN ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY TECHNIQUE FOR DETECTING AND LOCATING GEOMEMBRANE FAILURES	May 1984
	6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE

7. AUTHOR(S)	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.
David W. Shultz, Bob M. Duff, W. R. Peters	

9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.
Department of Geosciences Southwest Research Institute San Antonio, TX 78284	BRD1A
	11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO.
	68-03-3033

12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS	13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED
Municipal Environmental Research Laboratory--Cin., OH Office of Research and Development U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Cincinnati, Ohio 45268	
	14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE
	EPA 600/14

15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

Project Officer: Carlton C. Wiles 513/684-7795

16. ABSTRACT

An electrical resistivity survey technique has been developed and tested for assessing the integrity of geomembrane liner systems installed in fluid impoundments. Development of the technique has included two-dimensional computer modeling and three-dimensional physical model testing. A one-acre geomembrane lined surface impoundment was used for full-scale testing of the technique. Tests were conducted to detect and locate single and multiple leaks of different sizes. Results indicate the technique can be used to detect and locate single and multiple leaks as small as one inch in diameter with an accuracy of five feet or less.

17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS

a. DESCRIPTORS	b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS	c. COSATI Field/Group

18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT	19. SECURITY CLASS (This Report)	21. NO. OF PAGES
RELEASE TO PUBLIC	UNCLASSIFIED	16
	20. SECURITY CLASS (This page)	22. PRICE
	UNCLASSIFIED	

EPA Form 2220-1 (Rev. 4-77) PREVIOUS EDITION IS OBSOLETE

NOTICE

This document has been reviewed in accordance with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency policy and approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

SUMMARY

An electrical resistivity survey technique has been developed and tested for assessing the integrity of geomembrane liner systems installed in fluid impoundments. Development of the technique has included two-dimensional computer modeling and three-dimensional physical model testing. A one-acre geomembrane lined surface impoundment was used for full-scale testing of the technique. Tests were conducted to detect and locate single and multiple leaks of different sizes. Results indicate the technique can be used to detect and locate single and multiple leaks as small as one inch in diameter with an accuracy of five feet or less.

INTRODUCTION

The detection and location of leaks in geomembrane liner systems at hazardous waste disposal/storage facilities is necessary in the assessment of the performance of the liner system. Southwest Research Institute has developed an electrical resistivity technique to detect and locate leaks in these liner systems. The technique takes advantage of the high electrical insulating properties of a liner in comparison with the fluid contained above the liner and the soil under the liner. If the liner is physically punctured or separated, the electrical conductivity of the fluid and underlying soil form a detectable current path by which the leak may be detected and located. The technique can be applied on the surface of the fluid above the liner. A summary of the project activities and results is presented in the following section.

PROJECT SUMMARY

Geomembrane liners made from impervious plastics and rubbers exhibit high electrical resistance. When a liner is installed in a landfill or surface impoundment, it effectively acts as an electrical insulator between the materials contained in the facility and the surrounding earth. If the liner is physically punctured or separated, conductive fluid flow through the leak establishes an electrical shunt through the liner. This low resistance shunt forms an electrically detectable region which is the basis by which a leak may be detected and located.

Figure 1 shows the basic surface electrical resistivity testing technique for detecting and locating a leak in a geomembrane liner. A current source is used to inject current across the boundary of the liner. The liner has an electrical leak path, as shown. When a voltage is applied between the source and remote current return electrodes, current flows through the leak as shown in the figure. If a soil cover were present over the edges of the liner, current would also flow through this soil cover to the remote current return electrode, by-passing the liner. Potentials measured on the surface are affected by the current distributions near the leak and can be used to locate the leak.

Model studies were performed to validate the electrical resistivity liner survey technique. These studies provided an opportunity to experimentally analyze the current distribution and surface potentials resulting from various leak configurations. This information was needed to develop field survey equipment. The modeling studies and results are presented in the next section.

Computer Modeling Studies

Two-dimensional resistive network computer modeling studies were performed to predict the influence of an electrical current penetration through a geomembrane liner and associated surface potential voltages inside a landfill or fluid impoundment. The influences sought were the magnitude of the surface potentials at given locations for cases where fluid leaks or electrical current paths existed through the liner. The two-dimensional resistor network designed to simulate a liner was modeled using a general purpose circuit simulation computer program called SPICE. This software allows simulation of circuits containing resistors, capacitors, inductors, and voltage and current sources. The resistivity of the fill inside the liner (either soil or fluid) and the earth surrounding the liner was modeled using normalized resistance values of one



Figure 1. Conceptual electrical resistivity testing technique applied to detect and locate leaks in a geomembrane liner system.

ohm. The liner was characterized in the model by using parallel 1000-ohm resistors along the path of the liner, depicted by the solid line marked "liner" in Figure 2. To simulate a leak or conductive path through the liner, one of the 1000-ohm resistors representing the liner was replaced by a 1-ohm resistor. As a result, the leak path has the same resistance as the earth. In effect, the liner is thus removed at that location.

Computer Results--

Figure 2 illustrates the results of one of the computer generated two-dimensional analyses of a liner having a leak in the bottom. This figure represents a two-dimension cross-section through the liner and surrounding earth. The outline of the liner has been sketched in the figure and is represented by the three-sided trapezoidal figure in the center of the plots. The equipotential lines which appear in the figure are generated and plotted automatically by the computer program described earlier. The equipotential lines showing the voltage distribution patterns were computer generated. The current flow paths are at right angles to the equipotential lines and were sketched in by hand.

This figure shows that, as predicted, when a leak penetration is present in the liner, current flow between electrodes located inside and outside the liner will follow two paths, namely, through the leak and over the buried edges of the liner. Note the non-symmetry of the equipotential lines terminating on the surface of the facility, above the liner. The voltage gradient is clearly steeper along the surface on the left side of the current injection electrode above the leak. This voltage gradient is due to more current flow in the direction of the leak.

Based upon the results of the computer analyses, a three-dimensional physical scale model was designed and constructed. The following section describes the model and presents the experimental results.

Physical Scale Modeling Studies

After completion of the computer modeling studies, a three-dimensional physical scale model was designed and constructed. The objectives of using this model were to: (1) observe the surface voltage distribution patterns created by a fixed liner geometry, injection current location, fill material inside the liner, and leak configuration; (2) test the system instrumentation and assess its suitability for full scale testing; and (3) determine the accuracy of the technique in locating a leak.

Model Design--

The model was designed to allow studies of the resolution capabilities of the technique, i.e., how accurately it could locate a leak in the liner. Outside dimensions were established at 3.05 m (10 ft) on each side, for a total lined floor area of 9.3 m^2 (100 ft^2) Maximum depth was established at one foot, allowing variation of water depth during the experiments. A black polyethylene sheet 6 mils thick was selected as the geomembrane. A sand bed was placed below the liner. The moisture level of the sand was typical of the surrounding soil in the area.



Figure 2. Two-dimensional computer model of a liner with a leak. Equipotential lines at the top are nonsymmetrical, indicating the presence of a leak.



Figure 3. Equipotential plot of voltages on the surface of the water with a single leak.

Results--

Surface potential measurements were made with 0.1 m (0.33 ft) of water in the model. Figure 3 presents a polar coordinate equipotential plot of the measured data from one experimental configuration. The current injection electrode is shown in the center of the plot. A leak is shown on the 340° radial. The distortion of the equipotential lines indicates increased flow of current around the leak.

Testing on the water-filled model (simulating a fluid impoundment) confirmed that leaks can be detected and located with the technique. To determine if the system would achieve the same performance on a simulated landfill, the water in the model was replaced with soil. Figure 4 presents the results of a test with 0.06 m (0.22 ft) of soil in the model and one leak. The current injection electrode is shown in the center of the plot. The equipotential contours are distorted in the area of the leak as with the water-filled condition.

These studies further demonstrated the fundamental concepts of this approach which were first defined by the computer modeling efforts. Based upon these results, the measurement equipment, data processing software, and electrodes were specified for full-scale testing at a one-acre lined facility located on Institute grounds. Testing at this scale was performed to measure the performance of the technique at full-scale conditions which more accurately simulate actual field conditions. The design of the one-acre test facility and test results are presented in the following section.

Full-Scale Studies

The electrical resistivity leak detection technique was tested at a one-acre lined water-filled impoundment. The overall goal of the testing program was to determine how well the technique worked in a field environment under full-scale conditions. To accomplish this goal, the instrumentation required to apply the electrical resistivity technique was assembled. A 100-mil geomembrane liner made of high-density polyethylene was installed in a one-acre impoundment. Controllable leaks were installed in the liner for detection and location studies. Experiments were then performed to evaluate the technique and the instrumentation.

Impoundment Design--

A one-acre impoundment was utilized to test the liner assessment technique. The facility was designed to accommodate up to 6.5 feet of water. Overall dimensions are approximately 65.8 m (216 ft) x 65.8 m (216 ft) from the top of the berms. Side slopes are approximately three to one. An access road exists around the facility to allow for vehicular traffic during testing. A 100-mil high density polyethylene liner was installed in the facility to serve as the test liner. The liner was anchored at the top of the berm in a 0.6 m (2 ft) deep trench. The trench was backfilled with soil.

It was necessary to construct leaks in the liner to facilitate testing of the leak detection technique. Five pipes, each 0.3 m (1 ft) in diameter and 0.1 m (4 in.) long, were installed through the floor of the liner. The pipes were installed with 0.05 m (2 in.) above the liner and 0.05 m (2 in.) below the liner. Each pipe was made of HDPE to allow the liner to be welded to the pipe, creating a water-tight seal. A cap was constructed to fit over this pipe. The cap was made from two PVC rings which were bolted together. To create various leak sizes, disc-shaped HDPE inserts having various sized holes were placed



Figure 4. Equipotential plot of voltages on the surface of the soil with a single leak.



Figure 5. Conceptual drawing of the test impoundment and operation of the test equipment.

between the rings. The rings were bolted together and placed over the top of the pipe. These leak points allowed control of leak location during the performance tests.

Full-Scale Measurements--

Figure 5 shows a conceptual drawing of the full-scale test impoundment and operation of the equipment. All measurements were taken along radial lines 5° or 10° apart, beginning at the current source electrode out to markings on the berm. The logging cable was positioned on these marks during data acquisition runs. The location of any anomaly, such as a leak, could be calculated from the radial and the odometer data. The odometer, located on the electric winch, established the exact location of the measurement electrode with respect to the current electrode. To establish a baseline condition, the entire surface of the pond was surveyed with all leaks closed.

Then, various leaks were opened by removing the caps previously described. Potential measurments were then taken over the surface of the water. Soil moisture conditions below the liner were not measured. A contour plotting computer program was used to produce equipotential contour plots of the no-leak and leak data. The results of the testing are presented in the following sections.

No-Leak and Single Leak Results--

A contour plotting computer program was used to produce an equipotential contour plot of the voltages measured over the surface of the water in the no-leak case. This plot is shown in Figure 6. The X and Y axes define the approximate north and east water level boundaries of the impoundment. The angles of the radials are indicated. Voltage measurements were taken at 0.3 m (1 ft.) increments along each radial. The current source electrode is identified by a dot above the X axis at the 28-m (92-ft) point. The water was approximately 1.5 m (5 ft.) in depth.

The equipotential contour lines in Figure 6 show insignificant distortions of the surface potentials across the water surface. These results are similar to the no-leak contour plots of data taken with the physical scale model. The contour lines tend to be concentric semicircles close to the current injection point. Moving away from this region, the contour lines begin to straighten out, due to the effect of small amounts of current flow across the liner. Part of this current flow is due to the capacitive effects of the liner. Overall, this plot indicates no sudden, unexpected changes or perturbations in the current flow on the surface of the water.

To determine if the survey technique could detect and locate a single leak, a 0.3 m (1 ft) diameter leak was opened. The surface potentials were measured and the results plotted as before. An examination of the equipotential contour plot in Figure 7 shows that the contour lines close around the location of the leak. This indicates that the flow of current converges in the area of the leak, shown by the "bull's-eye" pattern centered on the leak. The bull's-eye potential pattern located on the surface of the water serves to graphically reveal the location of the leak. The plots of the data for each radial along with the equipotential contour plots serve as excellent analytical tools to detect and locate a leak.

To determine if the technique could detect and locate a smaller leak size, a 0.02 m (1 in.) diameter leak was installed on the 90° radial 22 m (72.3 ft)

Reproduced from
best available copy.



Figure 6. An equipotential contour plot of no-leak measurements.



Figure 7. An equipotential contour plot showing the distortions from a 1-foot diameter leak on the 90° radial.



Figure 8. An equipotentail contour plot showing the distortions from a 1-inch diameter leak.



Figure 9. An equipotential contour plot showing the distortions from three 1-foot diameter leaks on different radials.

from the current electrode. Surface potential measurements were taken at 0.3 m (1 ft) increments along the 60° through 120° radials. The equipotential contour plot of these data shown in Figure 8 indicates a significant distortion on the 90° radial in the proximity of the true leak location. The results of this test indicate that the electrical resistivity technique can be used to detect and locate a 0.02 m (1 in.) diameter leak in approximately 3716 m^2 (40,000 ft^2) of liner surface area to an accuracy of one foot. This accuracy is obtainable when the contour plots are used together with the raw data (not shown). The contour line shifts along the 110° radial in Figure 8 do not indicate an anomaly, since the shift is consistently the same magnitude.

Multiple Leak Results—

Multiple leak configuration experiments were performed to determine the leak signatures and sensitivity of the electrical resistivity technique. An experiment was performed in which three one-foot diameter leaks were detected and located. These leaks were established at locations 01, 04, and 05. Surface potential measurements were at one-foot increments along the 70° through 125° radial lines. Voltage peaks occur directly over each of the three leaks. The equipotential contour plot shown in Figure 9 clearly shows the presence of each leak.

CONCLUSIONS

Full-scale tests have demonstrated the ability of the electrical survey technique to detect and locate single and multiple leaks in geomembrane liner systems installed in water-filled impoundments. For all tests, the technique detected the presence of a leak. The accuracy of leak location ranged from within 0.3 m (1 ft) to 1.5 m (5 ft), depending on the location of the leak with respect to the current source electrode and the total number of leaks present. Although full-scale tests of the technique with soil fill over the liner were not conducted as part of this project, the results of model scale tests strongly indicate that the technique can be used to survey geomembranes installed at landfills.

The approach to finding leaks when their location is unknown would be the same as the approach used at the SwRI test impoundment. Modification to the survey approach, such as moving the position of electrodes, can easily be accomplished if necessary. This may be necessary if, for example, the leaks were not along a radial traverse.

The water depth and leak size and shape will likely influence leak signatures. Although the exact relationships are not known at this time, increasing depth appears to reduce the magnitude of the signature. This will become a limiting factor only if the signature strength falls below the background noise of the instrumentation. Background noise will be a site specific characteristic and therefore cannot be predicted.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work reported in this paper was performed under Contract No. 68-03-3033 with the Solid and Hazardous Waste Research Division, U.S. EPA, Cincinnati, Ohio. The author wishes to thank Carlton C. Wiles, Project Officer, for his support and guidance during this project. The author also acknowledges Robert P. Hartley and Paul R. de Percin, U.S. EPA/MERL/SHWRD, for their support in reviewing this manuscript.